What Toyota Can Teach a Small Business About Rework—and EBITDA

THE SCALING EDGE • ISSUE 2 • BUSINESS STRATEGY SPOTLIGHT

Educational article | Educational example, not Toyota financial data

Why Toyota's strategy matters to a small business

Toyota's continuous-improvement approach asks a practical question: why does the same problem keep happening? Instead of treating a defect as a one-time inconvenience, the business investigates its cause and changes the process. That principle matters to a repair shop, retailer, contractor, salon, restaurant or consulting firm. Each avoidable mistake consumes time, materials and customer goodwill. The cost can also appear in the company's financial statements—even when sales look healthy.

Consider a service business whose technicians return to jobs because the correct part was not verified before dispatch. The owner sees a scheduling problem. The financial statements may show a profitability problem: extra materials, overtime, fuel, credits, and fewer available appointments. A process change could affect all of these.

Start with the operating numbers

Assume a repair business completes 200 customer jobs each month. Its average revenue per job is $500, for monthly sales of $100,000. Sixteen jobs require an avoidable repeat visit. Each repeat visit costs $95 in incremental fuel, supplies and paid overtime. These are hypothetical teaching figures.

Measure	Before improvement	After improvement
Completed customer jobs	200	200
Repeat visits	16	8
Repeat-visit rate	8%	4%
Incremental cost per repeat visit	$95	$95
Monthly rework cost	$1,520	$760
Monthly checklist/training cost	$0	$200

Step 1 — Calculate the repeat-visit rate

Repeat-visit rate = repeat visits ÷ completed jobs × 100. Before: 16 ÷ 200 × 100 = 8%. After: 8 ÷ 200 × 100 = 4%. The improvement cuts the rate in half. A lower rate is valuable, but the owner must still calculate whether the savings exceed the cost of changing the process.

Step 2 — Calculate avoidable cost and net benefit

Monthly rework cost = repeat visits × incremental cost per visit. Before: 16 × $95 = $1,520. After: 8 × $95 = $760. Avoided cost = $760. Subtract the new $200 monthly checklist/training expense: net monthly benefit = $560. Annualized benefit = $560 × 12 = $6,720, assuming the improvement lasts and volume and costs remain stable.

How the same decision affects the income statement

An income statement, also called a profit-and-loss statement (P&L), shows revenue and expenses over a period. For this example, assume normal direct service costs excluding the avoidable rework are $55,000 per month; operating expenses excluding the new checklist are $30,000; depreciation is $2,000; interest is $500; and income tax expense is $1,000. The $95 per repeat visit represents incremental costs actually paid or incurred—not the value of unused regular salaried hours.

Monthly income statement	Before	After
Revenue	$100,000	$100,000
Normal cost of services	($55,000)	($55,000)
Avoidable rework costs in cost of services	($1,520)	($760)
Gross profit	$43,480	$44,240
Operating expenses, before checklist	($30,000)	($30,000)
Checklist / training expense	$0	($200)
EBITDA	$13,480	$14,040
Depreciation	($2,000)	($2,000)
EBIT (operating profit)	$11,480	$12,040
Interest expense	($500)	($500)
Income tax expense	($1,000)	($1,000)
Illustrative net income	$9,980	$10,540

The improvement increases EBITDA by $560/month even though revenue remains $100,000. Gross profit rises by $760 because fewer direct rework costs are incurred. The new $200 checklist expense is an operating expense, so the net EBITDA improvement is $560. This classification is an example: in a real company, training and quality-control costs may be included in cost of services, depending on the accounting policy. That can change gross margin but not total EBITDA if total expenses are unchanged.

What is EBITDA, and why should the owner care?

EBITDA means earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. It is a common measure of operating earnings before those specified items. It is not the same as cash flow, net income, or the

amount an owner can withdraw. EBITDA is also a non-GAAP measure, so definitions can vary; use a consistent, transparent calculation.

Basic formula: EBITDA = net income + interest expense + income tax expense + depreciation + amortization. In this example, before = $9,980 + $500 + $1,000 + $2,000 + $0 = $13,480. After = $10,540 + $500 + $1,000 + $2,000 = $14,040.

Alternative operating formula for this example: EBITDA = revenue − cost of services − cash operating expenses, excluding depreciation and amortization. The owner should reconcile this calculation to the general ledger and make sure no cost is counted twice.

Why EBITDA can improve without more sales

If a company sells exactly the same number of jobs at the same price but spends less on repeat work, more of its revenue remains after operating costs. This is a margin improvement, not a sales increase. If the owner instead adds a salesperson to grow revenue, that is a separate investment requiring a new lead, conversion, staffing and capacity model.

Five financial ratios that tell the owner more

Metric and formula	Before	After
Gross margin = gross profit ÷ revenue	43.48%	44.24%
EBITDA margin = EBITDA ÷ revenue	13.48%	14.04%
Operating margin = EBIT ÷ revenue	11.48%	12.04%
Net profit margin = net income ÷ revenue	9.98%	10.54%
Rework cost as % of sales = rework cost ÷ revenue	1.52%	0.76%

Read the ratios together. Gross margin tells the owner what remains after direct service costs. EBITDA margin shows operating earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. Operating margin also accounts for depreciation and amortization. Net margin shows the portion remaining after all expenses included in this example. The rework-cost ratio isolates the specific operational issue. Here, all margins improve while revenue stays constant.

A margin change of 13.48% to 14.04% is an improvement of 0.56 percentage points—not a 0.56% increase in revenue. Owners should use the correct units when discussing financial performance.

Where to find the numbers in the financial statements

1. Profit and loss statement

Locate revenue, cost of services or cost of goods sold, operating expenses, depreciation, interest and taxes. If repeat visits are mixed into general technician labor or materials accounts, the P&L alone may not reveal the problem. Use job costing, time sheets, dispatch records and expense detail to isolate the incremental cost of rework.

2. Balance sheet

Review cash, accounts receivable, inventory and accounts payable. If repeated jobs require additional parts purchased on credit, inventory or expense and accounts payable may increase before cash is paid. If rework delays billing, accounts receivable and collection timing may change. The balance sheet shows what the company owns and owes at a point in time; it is not another profit statement.

3. Statement of cash flows and bank activity

Check whether lower rework actually reduced overtime payments, fuel purchases or supply purchases. If all technician time is fixed salary and no overtime or hiring is avoided, the recovered time is capacity—not necessarily cash saved. Also check when suppliers are paid and customers pay invoices. EBITDA does not subtract capital expenditures, debt principal payments, or changes in working capital.

4. Job-cost and operational reports

Compare jobs completed, first-time completion rate, return visits, labor hours, materials, customer credits and time to collect. Financial reports tell you the result; operational reports help explain why the result occurred.

Do not confuse three different types of benefit

Type	What it means	How to report it
Real expense reduction	Less overtime, fuel or materials actually incurred	Can increase EBITDA; may improve cash when paid
Recovered capacity	Staff have more hours available but payroll is unchanged	Do not automatically count as cash savings
Additional profitable sales	Freed capacity is filled with new completed customer jobs	Model incremental revenue, direct cost and other expenses separately

For example, if eight fewer repeat visits free eight appointment slots, and only four are filled with new paying jobs, count the contribution from those four jobs—not eight. If each new job sells for $500 and incurs $275 in direct variable cost, contribution is $225/job. Four additional completed jobs contribute $900 before any extra fixed costs. This is a separate scenario; do not add it to the $560 benefit unless the cost assumptions prove there is no double counting.

Should the owner invest in the new process?

A simple decision process is to compare expected benefits, implementation cost, risk and measurement quality. In the base example, the recurring checklist expense is already included in the $560 net benefit. If the owner must also spend $1,200 one time to create the process, simple payback = one-time cost ÷ monthly net benefit = $1,200 ÷ $560 ≈ 2.14 months. First-year net benefit after setup = $6,720 − $1,200 = $5,520, assuming twelve months of savings. These figures exclude financing and taxes and do not guarantee the result.

Run a 30-day pilot first. If the repeat-visit rate does not fall, investigate why before expanding the process. If the rate falls but labor costs do not, revise the savings model. A good strategy is one the owner can measure and correct—not simply a checklist that looks professional.

Your 30-day financial review worksheet

Question	Your business
Monthly completed jobs	_____
Monthly repeat visits	_____
Repeat-visit rate	_____
Incremental cost per repeat visit	_____
Monthly avoidable rework cost	_____
Expected reduction in repeat visits	_____
Monthly cost of the new process	_____
Expected EBITDA improvement	_____
One-time setup cost and payback	_____
Actual cash costs reduced	_____
Capacity freed for additional work	_____

Decision prompt: Which one operating problem is reducing your gross margin or EBITDA? What evidence from the P&L, job-cost records and cash activity would show that fixing it is worthwhile?

The lesson to take away

Toyota's strategy is not merely about doing work faster. It is about finding recurring causes of waste, redesigning the process, and checking the results. For a small-business owner, the strongest application is connecting an operational metric—such as repeat visits—to the P&L, EBITDA, margins, cash flow and customer experience. That is how a process improvement becomes a measurable business decision.

About this article: An Across the Table educational adaptation. Numerical business examples are illustrative unless expressly identified otherwise.

Across the Table: https://acrossthetable.biz/ | The Scaling Edge: https://acrossthetablebiz.substack.com/